



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/957,436	09/20/2001	Keiji Osano	7217/65454 3247	
7590 12/06/2004			EXAMINER	
Jay H. Maioli			SAMS, MATTHEW C	
Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/957,436	OSANO, KEIJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew C. Sams	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 September 2001.						
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 9/20/2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by for drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed on 3/24/2004 has been considered.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Fig. 1 [24] and [28], Fig. 5 [82], Fig. 8B [11] and Fig. 8C [SL], [SR] and [S1]. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the

Art Unit: 2643

description: Fig. 5 [87], Fig. 6 [CP1] and [CP2], Fig. 7 [33] and [79], Fig. 8B [11], Fig. 8C [GND] and [FROM 75], Fig. 10A [11], Fig. 10B [FROM 75] and [C2], Fig. 11 [79] and Fig. 12 [86]. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acree (US-5,099,514 herein after, Acree) in view of Adams (US-6,594,366 herein after, Adams).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 1. Acree discloses a telephone accessory that uses a headphone unit (Fig. 1 [12]), an amplifier for amplifying ambient sound (Fig. 2 [70 & 71]) and a control device for adjusting the signal level (Fig. 1 [42 & 43]) of the ambient sound. Acree differs from the claimed device in not specifically disclosing a handheld telephone set with a detecting means for a stereo or mono headphone type. However, Adams discloses a handheld telephone set (Fig. 1 [50]) with a circuit (Fig. 3 [201]) for detecting stereo/mono headphones (Fig. 1 [101 & 103]). (Col. 5 line 10-12 and Col. 6 lines 5-9) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the telephone accessory (Fig. 1 [10]) of Acree integrated into a handheld telephone set with a detecting means for stereo/mono operation (Fig. 1 [101 & 103]) like Adams. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since it makes it possible for the telephone accessory to be portable, to detect the presence of either a telephone headset or stereo headphones and provide either telephone functionality or radio functionality in response to their detection. (Adams Col. 1 lines 10-25 and 36-39)

Regarding claim 2, Adams discloses a handheld telephone set (Fig. 1 [50]) connected to stereo earphones (Fig. 1 [101]) or a mono earphone (Fig. 1 [103]). Depending upon which type of earphone is connected, an amplifier increases or decreases the signal level accordingly. (Col. 4 lines 54-57 and Col. 6 lines 5-9)

Regarding claim 5, Acree discloses an amplifier (Fig. 2 [70 & 71]) that superposes digital signals onto digital audio signals. (Col. 2 lines 35-37)

Regarding claim 6, Acree discloses an amplifier (Fig. 2 [70 & 71]) that superposes analog signals onto analog audio signals. (Col. 2 lines 35-37)

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 7, Acree discloses a microphone (Fig. 1 [40]) for acquiring ambient sound. (Col. 1 lines 29-35)

Regarding claim 8, Acree discloses a telephone accessory that uses a headphone unit (Fig. 1 [12]), an amplifier for amplifying ambient sound (Fig. 2 [70 & 71]) and a control device for adjusting the signal level (Fig. 1 [42 & 43]) of the ambient sound. Acree differs from the claimed device in not specifically disclosing a handheld telephone set with a detecting and configuring means for a stereo or mono headphone type. However, Adams teaches of a handheld telephone set that detects stereo/mono headphones and amplifies the signals accordingly. (Col. 5 lines 10-12 and Col. 6 lines 5-9) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the telephone accessory (Fig. 1 [10]) of Acree in a handheld telephone set (Fig. 1 [50]) with a means for detecting and configuring stereo/mono headphones (Fig. 1 [101 & 103]) like Adams. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since it makes it possible for the telephone set to detect the presence of either a telephone headset or stereo headphones and provide either telephone functionality and/or radio functionality with optimal amplification levels in response to their detection. (Adams Col. 1 lines 10-25 and 36-39)

Regarding claim 9, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reason set forth in claim 2.

7. Claims 3-4 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acree and Adams as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Romesburg (US-5,966,438 herein after, Romesburg).

Art Unit: 2643

Acree and Adams disclose all of claims 1 and 8, which claims 3-4 and 10-11 are dependent upon. Acree and Adams differ from the claimed device in not specifically mentioning that the amplifier gain is controlled by feedback.

Romesburg teaches of a handheld telephone set (Col. 9 lines 56-57) that adjusts the amplifier gain (Fig. 6 [34]) according to the ambient sound detector, the microphone (Fig. 1 [120]). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the telephone set of Acree and Adams with an adjustable amplifier gain controlled by feedback like that of Romesburg. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since it makes it possible for hands free operation of the headphone with various levels of ambient noise without having to manually adjust the signal levels. (Col. 3 lines 34-36)

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to alert messages for mobile electronic devices in general:

US-6,728,374 to Takeda- Transmitter/receiver comprising a bone-conduction speaker.

US-5,535,433 to Kurokawa et al.- Hands-free circuitry featuring signal adjustment based upon speaker volume.

US-6,374,126 to MacDonald- Hands-free headset with storable stereo earpiece.

Art Unit: 2643

US-5,655,025 to Kim et al.- Circuit for automatically recognizing and receiving

Page 7

mono and stereo audio signals.

US-6,748,085 to Yang- Audio input that amplifies stereo and mono signals

separately, distinctly and automatically.

JP-58-190,107 to Hironori- Circuit capable of delivering external sounds from a

microphone to a headphone.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew C. Sams whose telephone number is (703)305-

4718. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor. Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703)305-4708. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MCS 9/22/2004

GEORGE ENG